

Area deprivation across the life course and health: challenges, value and the future

Emily Murray, PhD MSc Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, UCL

CLOSER workshop: Using geographic data in longitudinal studies: Value, challenges & case studies

22nd November 2016











American Journal of Epidemiology

B The Author 2013. Published by Oxbird University Press on behalf of the Johns Hopkins Boomberg School of Public Health. This is an Open Access article databused under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://anativacommon.org/licenses/bync/5.0), which permits unrestricted rouse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is property clead.

DOI: 10.1093/aje/kwt003

Original Contribution

Area Deprivation Across the Findings From the 1946 Briti



Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect
HEALTH
& PLACE
journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/healthplace

Health & Place 18 (2012) 366-374

Emily T. Murray*, Yoav Ben-Shlorr and Rebecca Hardy

* Correspondence to Dr. Emily T. Murray, MRI 33 Bedford Place, London WC1B 5JU, United

Initially submitted June 1, 2012; accepte

Physical capability in later life conditions have only been exami a longitudinal study of a 1946 Bri as percentage of employed peop (residential addresses linked to age 53 years: grip strength, stand als nested within areas at the 3 a contributions to physical capabili midlife were robust to adjustmen for a 1-standard-deviation increa (95% CI: -0.1, 4.3)). In addition, hood socioeconomic position (-i physical capability should target childhood.

geography; Great Britain; health residence characteristics; socioe

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; GI: deviation; SEP, socioeconomic position.

Challenges in examining area effects across the life course on physical capability in mid-life: Findings from the 1946 British Birth Cohort

Emily T. Murray^{a,b,*,1}, Humphrey Southall^{c,1}, Paula Aucott^{c,1}, Kate Tilling^{d,1}, Diana Kuh^{a,1}, Rebecca Hardy^{a,1,2}, Yoav Ben-Shlomo^{d,1,2}

⁴ MRC Unit for Lifelong Health and Ageing, University College and Royal Free Medical School, 33 Bedford Place, London WCTB 5JU, United Kingdom ^b Laboratory of Epidemiology, Demography, and Biometry, Cateway Building, Bethesda, MD, USA ^c Great Britain Historical CaS Project, Department of Geography, University of Portsmouth, United Kingdom

^d School of Social and Community Medicine, University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 24 March 2011 Received in revised form 27 November 2011 Accepted 27 November 2011 Available online 6 December 2011

Keywords: Life course Area Capability Methodology Deprivation

ABSTRACT

A major limitation of past work linking area socioeconomic conditions to health in mid-life has been the reliance on single point in time measurement of area. Using the MRC National Survey of Health and Development, this study for the first time linked place of residence at three major life periods of childhood (1950), young adulthood (1972), and mid-life (1999) to area-socioeconomic data from the nearest census years. Using objective measures of physical capability as the outcome, the purpose of this study was to highlight four methodological challenges of attrition bias, secular changes in socioeconomic measures, historical data availability, and changing reporting units over time. In general, standing balance and chair rise time showed clear cross-sectional associations with residing in areas with high deprivation. However, it was the process of overcoming the methodological challenges, which led to the conclusion that in this example percent low social class occupations was the most appropriate measure to use when extending cross-sectional analysis of standing balance and chair rise to life course investigation.

Published by Elsevier Ltd.



MRC National Survey of Health and Development (NSHD)

Stratified random sample of all single, legitimate births in 1 week of March 1946 (n=5,362) in England, Scotland & Wales

Data collected on cohort members across childhood and adolescence and at ages 20, 26, 36, 43, 53 and 60-64 years in adulthood [see Wadsworth et al, *IJE* 2006;35:49-54 and Kuh et al, *IJE* 2011;40:e1-9]





Methods

- At every data collection, address of current residence collected: ages at birth, 2, 4, 6, 7, 11, 15, 20, 26, 36, 43, 53, 60-64, 68 years.
- 2. Chose 3 ages to represent life stages:
 - a. Childhood aged 4 years (1950)
 - b. Early adulthood aged 26 years (1972)
 - c. Midlife aged 53 years (1999)



Methods

- Two-step address linking -> Local Government District matching method
 - Automatic postcode matching to Ordnance
 Survey's Address-Point database.
 - b. Manual Ordnance survey maps used to identify District.

≜UCL

E.T. Murray et al. / Health & Place 18 (2012) 366-374



Fig. 1. Flow of cohort member's addresses included in the analyses, by study year:











CHALLENGES



Challenge #1: Lack of consistently collected data across time

Townsend:

- Unemployment
- Overcrowding
- No car
- Renting

Carstairs:

- Male unemployment
- Overcrowding
- No car
- Low social class

Index of Multiple deprivation: - many, many variables...

| Торіс | 193 1 | 195 1 | 196 1 | 197 1 | 198 1 | 199 1 | 200 1 |
|---|----------|----------|-----------------|----------|----------|-----------------|----------|
| Education | | | | | - | - | |
| Whether scholar or student | | GB | | | | | |
| Age at which full-time education ceased | | GB | GB | | | | |
| School level qualifications | | | 1 | GB | | | |
| Scientific and technical qualifications | | | GB | GB | GB | GB | GB |
| Higher qualifications | | | | GB | GB | GB | GB |
| Employment | | - | | - | | - | - |
| Activity | GB | GB | GB | GB | GB | GB | GB |
| Students of working age | GB | GB | GB | GB | GB | GB | GB |
| Working full-time or part-time | | GB | GB | | GB | GB | GB |
| Weekly hours worked | | | GB ³ | GB | | | 10 |
| Employment status (employee, self- | GB | GB | GB | GB | GB | GB | GB |
| employed) | | GB | GB | GB | GB | GB | GB |
| Apprentice or trainee | GB | GB | GB | GB | GB | GB | GB |
| Industry | GB | | | | | GB ⁴ | GB |
| Address of business | GB | GB | GB | GB | GB | GB | GB |
| Occupation | | | | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 11 |
| Households | | 1 | | | 1.1 | 1.1 | |
| Number of rooms | E,W | GB | GB | GB | GB | GB | GB |
| Number of rooms with one+ windows | S | | | | | | |
| Sharing accommodation | | | | GB | GB | GB | GE |
| Tenure of accommodation | | | GB | GB | GB | GB | GB |
| Car or vans | | 1 | | GB | GB | GB | GB |
| Household amenities | | | | | | | |
| Cooking stove | | GB | GB | GB | | | |
| Kitchen sink | | GB | GB | GB | | | |
| Piped water supply | | GB | GB | | | | |
| Hot water supply | | | GB | GB | | | |
| Fixed bath or shower | | GB | GB | GB | GB | GB | GB |
| Inside WC | | GB | | GB | GB | GB | GB |
| Outside WC | | | | GB | GB | | |
| Central heating | | | | | | GB | GB |

GB: Great Britain; E: England; W: Wales; S: Scotland

Source: Norris & Mounsey 1985; Champion 1996; ONS 2004



Challenge #2: Secular changes in area socioeconomic conditions

Table 2

Distribution of area socioeconomic measures^a with geocoded addresses from 1950, 1972, and 1999 and physical capability outcomes at age of 53 years (*n*=2298).

| | 1950 (aged 4 years) | | 1972 (aged 26 years) | | 1999 (aged 53 years) | |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| | Mean (SD) | Range | Mean (SD) | Range | Mean (SD) | Range |
| Area measures | 1000 | 0.000 | 1205 | - Second | 1000 | |
| Low social class | 29.4 (7.7) | 9.3-49.7 | 25.0 (6.0) | 8.2-52.1 | 19.8 (2.9) | 13.8-30.0 |
| Unemployment | 1.2 (0.7) | 0.2 5.3 | 2.3 (0.9) | 0.5 6.8 | 4.7 (1.9) | 2.0 11.4 |
| Lacking higher education | 97.5 (1.5) | 84.4-99.7 | 95.5 (1.8) | 85.9-99.1 | 80.5 (6.7) | 48.5-91.5 |
| Overcrowding | 15.7 (5.5) | 4.2-36.5 | 10.2 (4.2) | 2.2-27.8 | 1.5 (1.1) | 0.5-12.5 |
| Lacking household amenities | 48.2 (18.0) | 3.4-89.5 | 15.1 (7.9) | 1.6-50.7 | 7.9 (5.1) | 1.6-27.3 |
| No car | - | | 44.1 (13.0) | 18.4-76.4 | 23.8 (9.7) | 8.4-57.6 |
| Rent | - E - I | - | 48.3 (14.8) | 13.8-90.3 | 25.2 (8.4) | 9.6-72.3 |
| Physical capability | | | | | | |
| Balance time, s ^c | - | | ÷ | 34 A | 5.0 (2.2) | 1.0-30.0 |
| Char rise time, s ^c | - | | - | - | 20.3 (1.4) | 5.0-322.0 |
| Grip strength, kg/cm | - | - | - | - | 2.2 (0.8) | 0.1-5.1 |

^a Derived from addresses/postcodes geocoded and linked to a local government district from census years 1951, 1971, and 2001.

^b England and Wales only in 1951 and 1971.

^c Geometric mean (standard deviation).



Challenge #3: Lack of consistently collected data across time

Year Geography Output area Enumeration Ward Local authority district 1951 GB 1961 Partly on demand GB GB 1971 GB GB GB GB GB GB 1981 1991 E,W S GB GB GB GB 2001 GB 2011 GB GB GB

Table 2: Output geographies of censuses

Note: for Scotland pseudo postcode sectors are used instead of ward in 1981, 1991 and 2001 censuses. Source: Denham & Rhind 1983; Coombes, M. 1995



Challenge #4: Changes in geographic boundaries







Challenge #5: Attrition bias



Fig. 2. Selected area and individual characteristics of cohort members who were included and excluded in 1950 (aged 4 years) and 1972 (aged 26 years).









Value #1: Life-course perspective (aka more accurate exposure data)





Physical capability





Chair Rise



Value #1: Life-course perspective (aka more accurate exposure data)

Table 2. Variance in Measures of Physical Capability at Age 53 Years (1999) Explained by Area of Residence in 1950, 1972, and 1999 in 2-Level Nested Models^a Compared With Cross-Classified Models^b (n = 2,300), United Kingdom, 1946–1999

| | 19 | 50 | 19 | 72 | 19 | 99 | Total Life | e Course ^c |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | Variance Between Areas | % of Total Variance |
| Standing-balance test, seconds | | | | | | | | |
| 2-level models | 0.0287** | 4.6 | 0.0518** | 8.4 | 0.0532** | 8.6 | d | |
| Cross-classified models | 0.0130 | 2.1 | 0.0278* | 4.5 | 0.0392** | 6.3 | 0.0800 | 12.9 |



Value #2: Life-course analyses

Figure 2. Mean percent change in standing balance at age 53 years for a 1-standard deviation increase in area deprivation in 1950, 1972 and 1999 (from cross-classified models), United Kingdom, 1946-1999.



Figure 2. Mean percent change in physical capability measures at age 53 years for a 1-standard-deviation increase in area deprivation in 1950, 1972 and 1999 (from cross-classified models), United Kingdom, 1946–1999. Left column, age 4 years (1950); middle column, age 26 years (1972); right column, age 53 years (1999). In model 1, results were unadjusted (*n* = 2,300); in model 2, results were adjusted for cross-sectional individual socioeconomic position (SEP) (i.e., area deprivation in 1950 adjusted for childhood SEP only); in model 3, results were adjusted for prior area deprivation (i.e., area deprivation in 1972 adjusted for 1950); and model 4 was the full model fitted for all previous area deprivation and current and prior individual SEP (i.e., area deprivation in 1999 adjusted for area deprivation in 1950 and 1972 and individual SEP in 1950, 1972, and 1999 (ages 4, 26, and 53 years)). Area deprivation was measured as the percentage of employed persons in the area working in partly skilled or unskilled occupations. Because of missing data, models adjusting for individual SEP (models 2 and 4) contained fewer than 2,300 participants. Bars, 95% confidence interval.

UCL



THE FUTURE



The Leverhulme Trust



🦰 ShareThis

Scoop

A life course approach to neighbourhood effects

Stephen Jivraj (UCL), Emily Murray (UCL), Paul Norman (Leeds) & Owen Nichols (UCL)



Home > Data resources > Timeline of studies

Timeline of studies

| | Development | C National Survey of Health and | MRC | |
|---|---------------------------|---------------------------------|------|----|
| | ild Development Study | 1958 National Ch | | |
| | 1970 British Cohort Study | | | |
| ngitudinal Study of Parents and Childre | Avon Lo | | | |
| Southampton Women's Surve | | | | |
| Millennium Cohort Stud | | | | |
| 7: The UK Household Longitudinal Stud | Understanding Societ | | | |
| 2000 | 1980 | 1960 | 1940 | 20 |





The Leverhulme Trust



A life course approach to neighbourhood effects

- Prospectively collected address data:
 - 1958 cohort: ages 0, 7, 11, 16, 23, 33, 42, 46, 50, 55
 - 1970 cohort: 0, 5, 10, 16, **26, 30, 34, 38, 42**
- Matched to consistent census geographies, using the nearest census year, 1971-2011
- 2011 Census Lower Super Output Areas (LSOAs) in England and Wales and 2011 Data Zones (DZs) in Scotland using a methodology developed by Norman et al.

Geography: from source to target

Boundary change: data conversion from EDs 1991 to LSOAs 2011







UCL

| ED91 | LSOA11-Code | LSOA11-Name | Add | Source | Weight |
|----------|-------------|-----------------|-----|--------|--------|
| 07CNGL05 | E01009415 | Birmingham 002A | 126 | 223 | 0.5650 |
| 07CNGL05 | E01009416 | Birmingham 003A | 27 | 223 | 0.1211 |
| 07CNGL05 | E01009418 | Birmingham 001B | 30 | 223 | 0.1345 |
| 07CNGL05 | E01009423 | Birmingham 002C | 40 | 223 | 0.1794 |
| 07CNGL06 | E01009417 | Birmingham 001A | 37 | 247 | 0.1498 |
| 07CNGL06 | E01009421 | Birmingham 004A | 210 | 247 | 0.8502 |
| 07CNGL07 | E01009417 | Birmingham 001A | 112 | 264 | 0.4242 |
| 07CNGL07 | E01009419 | Birmingham 001C | 152 | 264 | 0.5758 |
| 07CNGL08 | E01009419 | Birmingham 001C | 260 | 260 | 1.0000 |

| Source | Unemployed | Weight |
|---------|------------|--------|
| 07NGL05 | 45 | 0.5650 |
| | | 0.1211 |
| | | 0.1345 |
| | | 0.1794 |
| Targets | Unemployed | |
| 002A | 25.43 | |
| 003A | 5.45 | |
| 001B | 6.05 | |
| 002C | 8.07 | |



1971, 1981, 1991, 2001 & 2011

Lower Super Output Areas in England & Wales and Datazones in Scotland (2011 boundary definitions)

Populations by sex and five year age-group

Townsend scores & population weighted quintiles (comparable over time in terms of more or less deprived) & components

- Unemployment
- Non-home ownership
- No car
- Overcrowded households

Population density: persons per hectare



The Leverhulme Trust



A life course approach to neighbourhood effects

- 1. Does it matter whether you live in a disadvantaged neighbourhood in childhood on the health and wellbeing you experience in later life?
- 2. Are neighbourhood effects stronger in childhood than at other stages of the life course?
- 3. To what extent do negative neighbourhood effects on health and wellbeing accumulate during the life course?
- 4. Can you offset any negative neighbourhood effects by permanently moving to a less.











The Leverhulme Trust



MRC Unit for Lifelong Health and Ageing

Imperial College London



Small Area Health Statistics Unit

