

## **CLOSER conference**

# The importance of early years, childhood and adolescence: Evidence from longitudinal studies

British Library Conference Centre Monday 30 November

#### @CLOSER\_UK #CLOSERconf



CLOSER website: <u>www.closer.ac.uk</u> CLOSER Discovery: <u>www.discovery.closer.ac.uk</u>







# Understanding early life: resources for research

# Alison Park, Director CLOSER





# About CLOSER

- Aims to maximise the use, value and impact of the UK's longitudinal studies
- Consortium of longitudinal studies, the British Library and the UK Data Service
- ESRC and MRC funding





# Key areas of work

- Demonstration projects on data harmonisation and data linkage
- Online resources CLOSER Discovery
- Training and capacity building activities



# Key areas of work

- Demonstration projects on data harmonisation and data linkage
- Online resources CLOSER Discovery
- Training and capacity building activities



# **Data harmonisation**



Height, weight and BMI Rebecca Hardy, UCL



**Socio-economic status and qualifications** Claire Crawford, Warwick & IFS



Susan Ring, Bristol



Visual function Jugnoo Rahi, UCL



# **Data harmonisation**



Childhood environment and adult wellbeing Mai Stafford, UCL



Review of methods for determining pubertal status Janis Baird and Hazel Inskip, Southampton



Exploiting CLOSER biomarker data Meena Kumari, Essex



# Key areas of work

- Demonstration projects on data harmonisation and data linkage
- Online resources CLOSER Discovery
- Training and capacity building activities



# Data linkage



Linkage to administrative data Lorraine Dearden, UCL



Linkage to geographic data Chris Dibben, Edinburgh



Linkage to health data Michaela Benzeval, Essex



Data linkage in cohorts/longitudinal studies Andy Boyd, Bristol



# Key areas of work

- Demonstration projects on data harmonisation and data linkage
- Online resources CLOSER Discovery
- Training and capacity building activities



# **CLOSER Discovery**

## What is it?

- An online resource that helps you find and appraise study content
- Beta launch today

## Why do we need it?

To find out what has been asked on which study and decide whether it meets your needs



Enhancement Resources

# **CLOSER Discovery**

## What can I do with it?

- Search for topics, questions, variables
- Explore the context of a question or variable (where, when, how many?)
- Save your results
- Find out how to access data



CLOSER Discovery 🛱	Home Search Explore Basket o Admin			🕄 Help 🗸 👤 w.poynter@ucl.ac.uk 🗸
	Home			
	Welcome			
	CLOSER Discovery is an online resource that enables researche longitudinal studies. CLOSER Discovery is currently in <b>beta te</b> to best meet the needs of its users. To find out more about CLOSER Discovery visit the CLOSER w System Status: <b>Beta testing</b>	<b>sting</b> . We need your <b>feedback</b> to help us shape this resource		
	Search			
	Search			MRC
	(J) 8 Studies	33 Sweeps	27,302 Variables	77 Instruments
	2 12,047 Questions			
	Copyright © 2015 CLOSER. View licence agreement.			



#### Search

pregnancy smoking Q Sort by: Alphabetical   More
Item types: All Query: pregnancy smoking
Results 1 to 6 of 6 (0.0610032 seconds)
🔄 🏤 N639
o Ma's smoking after mth 4 of pregnancy Study: <u>National Child Development Study</u> / Sweep: <u>Perinatal Mortality Survey</u> / Dataset: <u>Perinatal Mortality Study Dataset</u>
🔄 🏤 N503
o <mark>Smoking</mark> during pregnancy
Study: National Child Development Study / Sweep: Perinatal Mortality Survey / Dataset: Perinatal Mortality Study Dataset
`₩ A3 N502
o <mark>Smoking</mark> prior to pregnancy
Study: National Child Development Study / Sweep: Perinatal Mortality Survey / Dataset: Perinatal Mortality Study Dataset



Name N537

Label O Was mum at sch. after min.leaving age

#### Dataset Perinatal Mortality Study Dataset

-1Dont Know111Did not stay-25+22Did not stay-24-43Did stay-25 plus8264Did stay-24 under3165Did not stay36336Did stay at sch.28725+min.age 14yrs0				
1Did not stay-25+22Did not stay-24-43Did stay-25 plus8264Did stay-24under3165Did not stay36336Did stay at sch.28725+min.age 14yrs0	Value	Label	Frequency	
2Did not stay-24-43Did stay-25 plus8264Did stay-24under3165Did not stay36336Did stay at sch.28725+min.age 14yrs0	-1	Dont Know	11	
3Did stay-25 plus8264Did stay-24under3165Did not stay36336Did stay at sch.28725+min.age 14yrs0	1	Did not stay-25+	2	
4Did stay-24under3165Did not stay36336Did stay at sch.28725+min.age 14yrs0	2	Did not stay-24-	4	
5Did not stay36336Did stay at sch.28725+min.age 14yrs0	3	Did stay-25 plus	826	
6Did stay at sch.28725+min.age 14yrs0	4	Did stay-24under	316	
7 25+min.age 14yrs 0	5	Did not stay	3633	
	6	Did stay at sch.	28	
8 24-min.age 15yrs 0	7	25+min.age 14yrs	0	
	8	24-min.age 15yrs	0	

Min	Max	Mean
1	6	4.59



CLOSER discovery	÷	Home Search Explore Basket	😮 Help - 👤 -
MANAGE Create a Basket	+	Basket ♠ Home / Baskets	
		This is your active basket.	
Basket	E	Download This basket contains 3 items.  Download PDF Download DDI 3.2	Manage  Rename  Remove
		Variables (2)	Questions (1)
		<ul> <li>A0259</li> <li>Foetal Heart Rate During Labour</li> <li>Q2340</li> <li>S4 TS Mental illness / depression</li> </ul>	qi_en_43_xi en 43(xi) Do these specific problem(s) apply to this child? Mental illness / depression<



# Today's launch

- A beta launch
- Please give us feedback!
- Partial content, largely early years focus
- Further content and functionality added 2016 and 2017
- Short demos over lunch (@ 12.50, 1.15 and 1.25)



# Key areas of work

- Demonstration projects on data harmonisation and data linkage
- Online resources CLOSER Discovery
- Training and capacity building activities



# **Training and capacity building**

# Workshops and seminars

- Recent: data harmonisation, data management
- Forthcoming: geographical variables, use of biological samples, participant engagement (Jan 29<sup>th</sup>)
- Regular methodology seminar series



# **Training and capacity building**

## Online resources @ www.closer.ac.uk

- Content from seminars and events
- Undergraduate and postgraduate teaching resources

#### WORKSHOP

**9** 2015

#### Cross-cohort research: Opportunities, challenges and examples

The rationale behind this event was the belief in the value of cross-cohort comparisons – that is, the ability to compare findings from different cohort studies. Such comparisons allow the findings from one study to be tested and replicated, and more robust conclusions to be reached. Comparison of longitudinal studies that differ by birth cohort or country provide opportunities for understanding the influence of different contexts. Harmonisation of data facilitates pooling of data across multiple studies to increase statistical power and allows cross-cohort comparisons of results in different contexts.

Harmonising data in order to make valid comparisons between studies is challenging. The same can be true of harmonising data across different waves of the *same* study (for example, when measurement approaches and instruments change). There is no well-established standard procedure for the retrospective harmonisation of data. There are also different approaches to the analysis of cross-cohort data – from pooling in a single dataset, or a 2-step meta-analysis, to coordinated independent analyses of the different datasets.



# Thank you

<u>www.closer.ac.uk</u> <u>www.discovery.ac.uk</u> <u>a.park@ioe.ac.uk</u>



# Thank you

<u>www.closer.ac.uk</u> <u>www.discovery.ac.uk</u> <u>a.park@ioe.ac.uk</u>





# Tea/coffee break and poster session

#### 11:00-11:30

#### @CLOSER\_UK #CLOSERconf



CLOSER website: <u>www.closer.ac.uk</u> CLOSER Discovery: <u>www.discovery.closer.ac.uk</u>







### Breakout sessions: Physical health 1 Auditorium

#### 11:30-12:50

#### @CLOSER\_UK #CLOSERconf



CLOSER website: <u>www.closer.ac.uk</u> CLOSER Discovery: <u>www.discovery.closer.ac.uk</u>







## Further evidence that infant growth influences proximal femoral geometry in adulthood: the Hertfordshire Cohort Study

Lifecourse Epidemioloa

AE Litwic, M Clynes, H Denison, KA Jameson, A Aihie Sayer, P Taylor, C Cooper, EM Dennison

MRC Lifecourse Epidemiology Unit, University of Southampton, UK

# Overview

- Background
- Hertfordshire Cohort Study
- Methods
- Results
- Discussion

# Background

- Hip fracture is the most significant complication of osteoporosis in terms of mortality, long-term disability and decreased quality of life.
- Personal impact of hip fracture
  - 50% do not live independently
  - 20% die within 12 months
- Socioeconomic cost
  - 75,000 hip fractures/year
  - 20% orthopaedic bed occupancy
  - Annual cost £2 bilion



# Background

- Bone mineral density (BMD) is a well-recognised strong predictor of osteoporotic fracture.
- Proximal femur geometry (PFG) parameters have also been proposed to be predictive of mechanical strength and femoral neck fracture risk.

# Early life determinants of osteoporotic fracture

- There is accumulating evidence that fracture risk and adult bone mass might be partly dependent on growth during intrauterine and early life.
- It has been suggested that poor growth during early life is associated with altered femoral geometry as assessed by DXA in older age.

# The Hertfordshire Cohort Study





# The Hertfordshire Records



- Birth weight
- Illnesses/development during infancy and early childhood
- Weight at 1 year
- Method of infant feeding

Weight at Birth.	Weight 1st Year	Food.	No. of Visits.		ndition, an Health		
			·	w	Y.	D.	ĩ
84 (13	24 2 (13	ß.	11				
Halth	o well	divelope	1. Bu	chland	School .	Card	to s.
1			12				
			Hadham .				
			11				
	and the second second		fontanelle still				
82	22	B.B.	9	ey "	4	4	10
Scalth	y + .	round.	Buch	Eland	School .	6	ard.

#### Hertfordshire Cohort Study Population



# Hertfordshire Cohort Study



# Hertfordshire Cohort Study



# Methods

- Hertfordshire Cohort Study participants
- n = 488 men; 431 women
- Age range 59 71 years


### Methods

- Health questionnaire information collected
- DXA scan
- Hip axis length and other proximal femur geometry parameters were extracted from scans using standard Hologic software.

### **Study Participants**

Characteristic	Men (n= 488)	Women (n=431)	p
Age (yrs)	64.8(2.5)	66.3(2.6)	<0.001
BMI (kg/m²) <sup>1</sup>	26.6(1.1)	26.8(1.2)	0.497
Dietary calcium intake (mg/day) <sup>1</sup>	1214(1.3)	1087(1.3)	<0.001
Activity score	64.1(14.8)	61.3(14.7)	0.004
Birth weight(kg)	3.5(0.6)	3.4(0.5)	<0.001
Weight at 1year(kg)	10.2(1.1)	9.7(1.0)	<0.001

<sup>1</sup>Geometric mean and SD *P* values contrast men and women

### **Study Participants**

Characteristic	Men (n= 488)	Women (n=431)	р
	N (%)	N (%)	
Smoker status			<0.001
Current	71(14.5)	41(9.5)	
Ex-smoker	252(51.6)	121(28.1)	
Never	165(33.8)	268(62.3)	
	Median (IQR)	Median (IQR)	
Alcohol consumption (units/week)	9.5(2.5-21.6)	1.5(0.0-6.0)	<0.001

### Femoral geometry assessed by DXA

	Men (n= 488)	Women (n=431)	p
BMD total hip (g/cm <sup>2</sup> )	1.04(0.13)	0.9(0.13)	<0.001
Hip axis length (cm)	121.2 (6.3)	105.1 (6.7)	<0.001
Narrow neck			
CSMI (cm⁴)	4.4(1.0)	2.6(0.7)	<0.001
width (cm)	3.8(0.2)	3.3(0.3)	<0.001
ED (cm)	3.4(0.2)	3.0(0.3)	<0.001
ACT (cm)	0.19(0.03)	0.17(0.03)	<0.001
СМР	0.4(0.0)	0.4(0.0)	< 0.001
Section modulus (cm <sup>3</sup> )	2.1(0.4)	1.4(0.3)	< 0.001
Buckling ratio	11.1(2.3)	11.5(3.0)	0.016

<sup>p</sup> p-value for the difference between men and women

Key: CSMI, cross sectional moment of inertia; ED, endocortical diameter; ACT, average cortical thickness; CMP, centre of mass position

# Association between proximal femur DXA variables and birth weight, weight at 1-year and conditional growth<sup>a</sup>

		Birth weight			v	Veight at 1 y	ear	Conditional growth		
Variables	β	95%CI	p-value		β	95%CI	p-value		β 95%C	p-value
Hip axis length (mm)	2.81	1.58, 4.05	<0.001		3.81	2.06,7.02	<0.001	0.9	5 0.30, 1.61	0.004
Narrow neck										
cross sectional moment of inertia (cm <sup>4</sup> )	0.24	0.11, 0.36	<0.001		0.15	0.09, 0.21	<0.001	0.2	2 0.06, 0.19	<0.001
width (cm)	0.11	0.06, 0.17	<0.001		0.07	0.05, 0.10	<0.001	0.0	6 0.03, 0.09	<0.001
endocortical diameter (cm)	0.11	0.05, 0.17	<0.001		0.08	0.05, 0.10	<0.001	0.0	6 0.03, 0.10	<0.001
average cortical thickness (cm)	0.00	-0.01, 0.01	0.901		0.00	-0.00, 0.00	0.823	0.0	0 -0.00, 0.00	0.764
profile centre distance (cm)	0.05	0.01, 0.09	0.008		0.04	0.02, 0.05	<0.001	0.0	3 0.01, 0.05	0.002
centre of mass position	0.00	-0.01, 0.01	0.955		0.00	-0.00, 0.00	0.842	0.0	0 -0.00, 0.00	0.805
section modulus (cm <sup>3</sup> )	0.08	0.03, 0.13	0.004		0.05	0.02, 0.07	<0.001	0.0	4 0.01, 0.07	0.007
buckling ratio	0.35	-0.18, 0.88	0.195		0.33	0.07, 0.59	0.014	0.3	1 0.03, 0.58	0.030

<sup>a</sup> adjustment for age, BMI, social class, physical activity, cigarette and alcohol consumption, and dietary calcium intake, and years since menopause and HRT use in women

# Association between proximal femur DXA variables and birth weight, weight at 1-year and conditional growth<sup>a</sup>

	Variables	Birth weight			v	Weight at 1 year			Conditional growth		
	Variables	β	95%CI	p-value	β	95%CI	p-value	β	95%CI	p-value	
<	Hip axis length (mm)	2.81	1.58, 4.05	<0.001	3.81	2.06,7.02	<0.001	0.95	0.30, 1.61	0.004	
	Narrow neck										
	cross sectional moment of inertia (cm <sup>4</sup> )	0.24	0.11, 0.36	<0.001	0.15	0.09, 0.21	<0.001	0.12	0.06, 0.19	<0.001	
	width (cm)	0.11	0.06, 0.17	<0.001	0.07	0.05, 0.10	<0.001	0.06	0.03, 0.09	<0.001	
	endocortical diameter (cm)	0.11	0.05, 0.17	<0.001	0.08	0.05, 0.10	<0.001	0.06	0.03, 0.10	<0.001	
	average cortical thickness (cm)	0.00	-0.01, 0.01	0.901	0.00	-0.00, 0.00	0.823	0.00	-0.00, 0.00	0.764	
	profile centre distance (cm)	0.05	0.01, 0.09	0.008	0.04	0.02, 0.05	<0.001	0.03	0.01, 0.05	0.002	
	centre of mass position	0.00	-0.01, 0.01	0.955	0.00	-0.00, 0.00	0.842	0.00	-0.00, 0.00	0.805	
	section modulus (cm <sup>3</sup> )	0.08	0.03, 0.13	0.004	0.05	0.02, 0.07	<0.001	0.04	0.01, 0.07	0.007	
	buckling ratio	0.35	-0.18, 0.88	0.195	0.33	0.07, 0.59	0.014	0.31	0.03, 0.58	0.030	

<sup>a</sup> adjustment for age, BMI, social class, physical activity, cigarette and alcohol consumption, and dietary calcium intake, and years since menopause and HRT use in women

## Association between Hip axis length and birth weight, weight at 1-year and conditional growth<sup>1</sup>



<sup>1</sup>Adjusted for age, BMI, social class, physical activity, smoker status, alcohol consumption, dietary calcium intake, years since menopause and HRT use

# Association between proximal femur DXA variables and birth weight, weight at 1-year and conditional growth<sup>a</sup>

Variables	Birth weight			١	Veight at 1 y	ear	Conditional growth		
Variables	β	95%CI	p-value	β	95%CI	p-value	β	95%CI	p-value
Hip axis length (mm)	2.81	1.58, 4.05	<0.001	3.81	2.06,7.02	<0.001	0.95	0.30, 1.61	0.004
Narrow neck									
cross sectional moment of inertia (cm <sup>4</sup> )	0.24	0.11, 0.36	<0.001	0.15	0.09, 0.21	<0.001	0.12	0.06, 0.19	<0.001
width (cm)	0.11	0.06, 0.17	<0.001	0.07	0.05, 0.10	<0.001	0.06	0.03, 0.09	<0.001
endocortical diameter (cm)	0.11	0.05, 0.17	<0.001	0.08	0.05, 0.10	<0.001	0.06	0.03, 0.10	<0.001
average cortical thickness (cm)	0.00	-0.01, 0.01	0.901	0.00	-0.00, 0.00	0.823	0.00	-0.00, 0.00	0.764
profile centre distance (cm)	0.05	0.01, 0.09	0.008	0.04	0.02, 0.05	<0.001	0.03	0.01, 0.05	0.002
centre of mass position	0.00	-0.01, 0.01	0.955	0.00	-0.00, 0.00	0.842	0.00	-0.00, 0.00	0.805
section modulus (cm <sup>3</sup> )	0.08	0.03, 0.13	0.004	0.05	0.02, 0.07	<0.001	0.04	0.01, 0.07	0.007
buckling ratio	0.35	-0.18, 0.88	0.195	0.33	0.07, 0.59	0.014	0.31	0.03, 0.58	0.030

<sup>a</sup> adjustment for age, BMI, social class, physical activity, cigarette and alcohol consumption, and dietary calcium intake, and years since menopause and HRT use in women

## Association between CSMI, width and birth weight, weight at 1-year and conditional growth<sup>a</sup>



<sup>Adjusted</sup> for age, BMI, social class, physical activity, smoker status, alcohol consumption, dietary calcium intake, years since menopause and HRT use

### Discussion

- The sample investigated is generally representative of the UK population
- DXA images were used for assessment of proximal femoral geometry
- Detailed information on gestational age at birth not available

### Conclusions

- We demonstrated further evidence that early growth is an important predictor of proximal femoral geometry in late adulthood.
- These observations suggest a possible mechanism for the previous observation that early growth is a risk factor for hip fracture in late adulthood.

### Acknowledgements

#### **Co-authors**

Elaine Dennison, Cyrus Cooper, Karen Jameson, Mark Edawrds, Aihie Sayer, Pat Taylor and Hayley Dennison

#### **Study Participants**





#### Further evidence that infant growth influences proximal femoral geometry in adulthood: the Hertfordshire Cohort Study

Lifecourse Epidemioloa

A Litwic, M Clynes, H Denison, K A Jameson, A Aihie Sayer, P Taylor, C Cooper, E Dennison

MRC Lifecourse Epidemiology Unit, University of Southampton, UK



#### Lunch

CLOSER search platform demonstrations and poster session

#### 12:50-14:00

#### @CLOSER\_UK #CLOSERconf



CLOSER website: <u>www.closer.ac.uk</u> CLOSER Discovery: <u>www.discovery.closer.ac.uk</u>



