Disabled children and young people can experience the transition to adulthood quite differently to their non-disabled peers.\(^1\)

With potential restrictions on their lifestyles, those who are disabled in childhood may be more isolated from peer influences and less likely to engage in risky behaviours. Similarly, they may have fewer chances to take part in social activities, and to form friendships and relationships. Parents can also be more protective of disabled children.\(^2\) Involvement in risky behaviours may be a cause for concern for all young people, but on the other hand experimentation is acknowledged as part of growing up.

This summary outlines research that uses data from the Longitudinal Study of Young People in England to explore these issues. We evaluate whether young people aged 19, who experienced disability in their teens, had similar or different experiences of growing up compared to non-disabled young people. We look at differences in key risky and positive social behaviours that are associated with growing up, as well as how the young people feel about their lives.

Measures of disability

In this research we use two different measures of disability, collected in the LSYPE when the young people were in their early teenage years:

- Special educational needs (SEN), including those with a statement of SEN. SEN covers young people with learning difficulties and disabilities for which special educational provision needs to be made. It can include learning, communication, behavioural, emotional, sensory and physical difficulties.

- Long-standing illness, covering long-term health conditions such as type 1 diabetes or asthma; mental health problems; and impairments such as a missing limb or partial sight.

Summary one provides further information on these disability measures.

The LSYPE is a large scale, representative study of nearly 16,000 young people. They were first surveyed when they were in Year 9 (aged 13/14) and followed up annually for seven years. The study contains a wealth of data relating to the young people’s educational experiences, occupational and educational aspirations, and personal and family characteristics. This research concentrates on those who were present at each survey, providing a sample of 8,115 young people.

Findings

Risky behaviours

Figure 1 shows a number of ‘risky’ behaviours broken down by disability status. There are no statistically significant differences in the chances of having tried cannabis across the different disability groupings, but young people with SEN are significantly more likely to have had a child by age 19, though the numbers are small.

Those with a statement of SEN are less likely to have had sex than their non-disabled peers.

Figure 2 shows that young people who are disabled by any disability measure tend to get drunk less frequently than their non-disabled counterparts. In their teenage years they are much more likely to ‘rarely’ or ‘never’ get drunk on the occasions that they drink alcohol.

**FIGURE 1**
Risky behaviours by disability status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disability Status</th>
<th>Had Sex by Age 19</th>
<th>Had a Child by Age 19</th>
<th>Tried Cannabis by Age 19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Long-standing illness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No long-standing illness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statement of SEN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No SEN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIGURE 2**
Getting drunk when drinking alcohol, by disability status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disability Status</th>
<th>Every or Most Times</th>
<th>Around Half the Time</th>
<th>Less Than Half the Time</th>
<th>Rarely or Never</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Long-standing illness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No long-standing illness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statement of SEN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No SEN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Findings

Positive social behaviours
Figure 3 shows that there is no difference in the likelihood of volunteering between disabled and non-disabled young people, but those with a statement of SEN were less likely to have donated money to good causes.

Friendships and relationships
As peer influences are important for both risky behaviours and for wellbeing, we also look at the social networks of disabled young people. Figure 4 shows that disabled young people have slightly smaller friendship networks than those who are not disabled, but the differences are not great. Very few young people have no friends.

Around 63 per cent of non-disabled young people and those with SEN without a statement of SEN have had a romantic relationship by age 19. In contrast, only half (50 per cent) of those with a statement of SEN or a long-standing illness in their early teens have had a romantic relationship by age 19, which represents a substantial difference.

Life satisfaction
In Figure 5, we look at how happy disabled young people are compared to non-disabled young people. We see that those with a statement of SEN or with long-standing illness tend to be somewhat less happy on average than their non-disabled peers. The differences are not enormous, but they are statistically significant.
Conclusion

Disabled young people differ less than might be expected in their risky and positive social behaviours and their relationships. However, they are somewhat less likely to engage in certain risky behaviours than their non-disabled peers. They also have slightly smaller social networks, including substantially lower chances of having had a romantic relationship, and report slightly lower levels of life satisfaction at this age. There is therefore some evidence that they tend to be on the margins of typical behavioural practices for their age group.

About this study
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This summary is the third in a series. Summary one covered the definition and prevalence of disability according to different measures, and summary two looked at the socio-economic position of families with disabled children.