CLOSER Conference

Education 2

Chair: Alice Sullivan

- Maternal and paternal involvement in educational activities and early child development
 Isabelle Fischer
- "Universal" early education and care: who benefits for longest? Tracking take-up through the National Pupil Database
 Tammy Campbell
- Do early life "non-cognitive skills" matter? A systematic review of early life effects on academic achievement, psychosocial, language and cognitive, and health outcomes **Neil Davies**
- An analysis into the genetic similarity of educational attainment, cognitive ability and socioeconomic position **Tim Morris**



Twitter:#CLOSERConfWIFI:BL-GUEST-CONFPassword:BLgue5T23







LEAD Graduate School & Research Network



Maternal & paternal involvement in educational activities and early child development

UCL CLOSER conference

Inequalities: a longitudinal perspective

09.11.2017, Isabelle Fischer





Outline

1	Motivation
Ш	Theoretical background & research questions
Ш	Working model
IV	Data & methodological approach
V	Preliminary results
VI	First conclusions
VII	Outlook





Combine various branches of literature





LEAD Graduate School & Research Network

Child development theories





Theoretical background





positive social, behavioral, psychological, or cognitive outcomes

- Gender often neglected
- Influences child's experiences:
 - Gendered interactions
 - Expectations
 - Activities



Amato & Gilbreth 1999; Lewis & Lamb 2003 Tenenbaum & Leaper 2003; Else-Quest et al. 2006; Emolu 2014





Research questions

Frequency mother and father activities: child gender?

Mother / father involvement qualitatively different?



Source of stimulation vs. overall amount?





Working model







Data & methodological approach



Participants Waves 1-4: 6,228

Methods

- Face-to-face interviews
- Direct testing
- Questionnaires

Cognitive development British Ability Scales

Analyses

- Polychoric correlations
- Ordered logistic regressions
- Linear regressions





Preliminary results









9 | Isabelle Fischer.



Preliminary results:

Secre mana 4		
Score wave 3	Score wave 4	Pattern Construction Wave 4: Standardized ability scores
	(10,00)	
	(40.09)	
Std. mother activity score	-0.00202	Control variables include:
·	(-0.14)	 Previous test results
	(-0.14)	 Mother reading frequency
Std. father activity score	0.00480	 Father reading frequency Parents' relative NVQ
	(0.31)	Child gender
		Child age in months,
Constant	-1.526***	 Number of siblings
	(-3.60)	Grandparent in HH
		 Mother work hours
λ7	1161	 Father work hours
<i>1</i> v	4401	Poverty

LEAD Graduate School & Research Network

t statistics in parentheses* p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001



First conclusions

- Reading = most important?
- Gender-specific activity groups
- More frequent mother-daughter or father-son interactions
- No linear relationship between parents' activity factor scores and test scores.





Outlook

- Subsample analyses
 - Level of educational attainment
 - Ethnicities
- Examine influence of gender ideologies
- Structural equation models / change models
- Robustness checks
 - Include single parents





Thank you.

Contact:

LEAD Graduate School & Research Network Gartenstraße 29a, 72074 Tübingen Phone: +49 7071 29-73579

isabelle.fischer@uni-tuebingen.de

www.lead.uni-tuebingen.de



"Universal" early education and care: who benefits for longest? Tracking take-up through the National Pupil Database

Tammy Campbell,* Ludovica Gambaro,^ Kitty Stewart*

*CASE, LSE ^DIW, Berlin





Background and context: Early Childhood Education and Care (ECEC) in England

- Children in England are educated within school-year cohorts, corresponding to the structure of the academic year (September-August)
- All* English children start primary school in the academic year (September-August) in which they turn five
- All English children are entitled to 15 hours free ECEC from the term after they turn three

*Though increasingly there are challenges to this, with a little more variation being introduced, this is negligible for our years of interest

...ECEC in England

- So autumn-born children are entitled to five terms of free ECEC, spring-borns to four terms, and summer-borns to three terms
- The vast majority of children attend in the penultimate year before primary school (estimated 95-98% in 2011)
- But, among autumn-borns, who are entitled to the most free ECEC, who benefits from this entitlement?

Why are patterns in take-up of interest?

- Spending on young children is increasingly dedicated to ECEC as the key early intervention (15 hours for low-income two-year-olds; 30 hours for 'working parents')
- Some evidence that high-quality ECEC can be beneficial to children's development / school readiness – particularly low-income children
- But children can only benefit if they attend

Key research questions

Among autumn-borns, who are entitled to five terms' free ECEC:

- How does take-up vary by income-level?
- What other factors relate to differences in take up?
- Do other factors account for variation by incomelevel?

Data and sample

- National Pupil Database (NPD): census of all children in funded state education in England
- 2011 data: 205,865 autumn-borns attended ECEC
- 2010 data: linked to establish whether they also attended in January of the previous year, taking up their full five terms
- NPD also provides measures of low-income (FSM), ethnicity, EAL, locality of child

Low-income measure: future FSM

- No measure of family income-level at ECEC stage
- Link data forward to primary school receipt of free school meals (FSM) recorded: low-income proxy
- Times FSM in the first three years of primary school:
 - Never (77%)
 - Once (5.5%)
 - Twice (5.9%)
 - Thrice (11.7%)

How does take-up vary by income-level?



Children who claim FSM for each of the first three years of primary school are least likely to have attended free ECEC for the full duration







Do other pupil-level factors account for variation by income-level?



No: never-thrice gap = 13pp vs 12 pp

Predicted probability of nontake-up; logistic regression

Controls = ethnicity, EAL, month of birth, gender

Wide variation by local authority



Ranges from 4% non-takeup to 53%

Variation in local factors

	Min	Мах	Mean	Standard Deviation
IDACI	0	99.4	22.7	17.0
Local authority provision:				
Maintained	0.2	97.8	46.6	25.5
Voluntary	0	52.6	14.7	12.6
Private	2.2	94.3	32.6	16.7
All other provision	0	79.7	6.1	8.5

Do local factors account for variation in take-up by income-level?



Partly: neverthrice gap = 13pp vs 8pp

Predicted probability of nontake-up; logistic regression

Pupil-level controls + IDACI and local provision make-up

Main findings

- •Low-income children are less likely to take up the full duration of their free ECEC
- This is not explained by co-existing family-level factors
- It is partly but not fully explained by local factors such as provision make-up

Implications

- If providing for low-income families and closing developmental gaps is truly a concern, policy on ECEC needs to move beyond simply providing 'entitlements' of which there is uneven take-up
- Provision type / local availability and suitability for different families is one potential lever
- Further investigation of barriers to take-up would be useful...
- ...alongside critical discussion and analysis of the merits of increasing free ECEC entitlements, when it is the more affluent families / children who disproportionately benefit

Thanks for listening

Questions, comments...?

t.campbell1@lse.ac.uk

I.gambaro@ucl.ac.uk

k.j.stewart@lse.ac.uk





Do early life "non-cognitive skills" matter? A systematic review of early life effects on academic achievement, psychosocial, language and cognitive, and health outcomes

CLOSER Conference UCL 2nd November 2017

Lisa Smithers¹, Alyssa Sawyer¹, Catherine Chittleborough¹, Neil Davies², George Davey Smith², and John Lynch^{1,2} <u>neil.davies@bristol.ac.uk</u> @nm_davies ¹ School of Public Health, University of Adelaide ²MRC Integrative Epidemiology Unit, University of Bristol





What are non-cognitive skills?

- Psychological traits which are not intelligence as measured by IQ tests
- Including, but not limited to:
 - Perseverance
 - Emotional intelligence
 - Grit
 - Attention
 - Time discounting/delayed gratification

 In reality – what ever was measured in the cohort study currently being analyzed

What Are Non-Cognitive Skills?

Integrity Independence Discipline Organization Productivity Motivation Procrastination Maturity Ethics

- Non-cog skills:
 - Associate with long term outcomes
 - Thought to be
 - less heritable than IQ
 - More malleable
- A suitable target for interventions?
 - Psychologists think so



Science according to Heathrow airport......

- Non-cog skills:
 - Associate with long term outcomes
 - Thought to be
 - less heritable than IO
 - More malleable
- A suitable target for interventions?
 - Psychologists think so
 - as do economists





James J. Heckman, Jora Stixrud, and Sergio Urzua, "The Effects of Cognitive and Noncognitive Abilities on Labor Market Outcomes and Social Behavior," Journal of Labor Economics 24, no. 3 (July 2006): 411-482.

https://doi.org/10.1086/504455

MOST READ

Of all published articles, the following were the most read within the past 12 months

Parenthood and the Gender Gap in Pay Angelov et al. Minimum Wage Shocks, Employment Flows, and Labor Market Frictions Dube et al.

Science according to the Journal of Labor economics.....



- Non-cog skills:
 - Associate wit
 - Thought to be
 - less heritab
 - More malle
- A suitable targ
 - Psychologists th
 - as do economist

GNEY		
Journal of Labor Econom	ics	SQLE
Frankline Frankl	CONTRIBUTORS ~	ABOUT ~ SOLE ~
	Next Article >	TOOLS
Acres .	bilities on	🔀 Export Citation (Track Citation
	r	🖂 Email A Friend 🛛 🏠 Add To Favorites
ALCONDO DE		6 Permissions 8 Reprints
		SHARE
	Go to 🗸	ARTICLE CITATION James J. Heckman, Jora Stixrud, and Sergio Urzua, "The Effects of Cognitive and Noncognitive Abilities on Labor Market Outcomes and Social Behavior," <i>Journal of Labor Economics</i> 24, no. 3 (July 2006): 411-482. https://doi.org/10.1086/504455
	noncognitive analysis everse	MOST READ
	influence hooling,	Of all published articles, the following were the most read within the past 12 months
	latent onal vector of erience, and	Parenthood and the Gender Gap in Pay Angelov et al. Minimum Wage Shocks, Employment Flows, and Labor Market Frictions Dube et al.
	Contraction of Contraction	

Science according to the Journal of Labor economics......

Objectives

- Big claims about non-cognitive skills
- Are they supported by scientific evidence?

• We conducted a systematic review of noncognitive skills



Science according to Heathrow airport......

Methods

- Review registration: PROSPERO, <u>CRD42013006566</u>
- Inclusion criteria
 - Original studies
 - Non-clinical samples
 - Experimental and observational studies
- Outcomes
 - academic achievement
 - language and cognitive development
 - psychosocial well-being
 - health
- Published until September 2015 (update pending)
- Searched Pubmed, PsycINFO, Embase, and Business Source Complete.

Eligible non-cognitive skills

- executive function
- effortful control
- emotional regulation
- persistence
- conscientiousness
- attention
- self-control
- impulsivity
- delay of gratification
- Measured in children aged up to eight years

Figure 1: Frequency of papers by year



Year

Figure 2. Flow chart of publications identified through electronic (n=9558) and hand searches (n=60) resulting in 8778 unique publications.



Summary of results

- Academic achievement outcomes:
 - *Experimental* 0.2-0.5 SD 1 yr effects
 - Observational 0-0.2 SD 5 yr effects
- Psychosocial outcomes
 - Inconsistent estimates even from the same data source
- Language and cognitive outcomes
 - small effects of ~0.1-0.2 SD
- Physical health outcomes
 - Highly diverse outcomes, null to small effects

(Inadequate) Follow-up

Figure 3. Effect sizes, study size and follow up for RCTs and quasiexperimental interventions with academic achievement outcomes.



Publication/small study bias (funnel plots)

- Funnel plots can be used to detect publication bias
- Asymmetric funnel plot suggests bias

Publication/small study bias (funnel plots)

- Funnel plots can be used to detect publication bias
- Asymmetric funnel plot suggests bias
- Funnel plot for academic achievement:
- Egger Regression: Bias = 2.00 (95% Cl 1.77, 2.23), p < 0.005





A little science can make you look pretty stupid.....



A little science can make you look pretty stupid.....



Conclusions

- Effects are likely to be modest and of the order ~0.2 SD
- Clear evidence of publication/small study bias
- Literature dominated by poor quality studies
 - No control for confounding
 - Short follow-up
 - Small samples
 - Inconsistent definitions of non-cognitive skills
 - Poor quality trials
- Despite a huge volume of research very little reliable evidence of which specific non-cognitive skills matter

Acknowledgements

- The people who did <u>all</u> the work:
 - Lisa Smithers, Alyssa Sawyer, Catherine Chittleborough, George Davey Smith and John Lynch
- Funders
 - MRC
 - ESRC

Thanks for listening, questions, comments?

- Pre-print available here:
- https://www.biorxiv.org/content/early/2017/03/10/115691
- Currently being updated....





References

- Smithers L, Sawyer A, Chittleborough C, Davies N, Davey Smith G, Lynch J. Do early life non-cognitive skills matter? A systematic review and metaanalysis of early life effects on academic achievement, psychosocial, language and cognitive, and health outcomes. 2017 Mar 10 [cited 2017 Oct 23]; Available from: http://biorxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/115691
- Duckworth A. Grit: the power of passion and perseverance. First Scribner hardcover edition. New York: Scribner; 2016. 333
- Heckman, J. J., Stixrud, J. & Urzua, S. The effects of cognitive and noncognitive abilities on labor market outcomes and social behavior. *Journal of Labor Economics* 24, 411-482 (2006).
- Diamond, A. & Lee, K. Interventions shown to aid executive function development in children 4 to 12 years old. *Science* **333**, 959-964 (2011).



An analysis into the genetic similarity of educational attainment, cognitive ability and socioeconomic position

Tim Morris, Neil Davies, Danny Dorling, George Davey Smith

MRC Integrative Epidemiology Unit, University of Bristol

bristol.ac.uk



- Research into educational attainment (EA) and inequalities (EI) has focussed heavily on social forces
- In UK, social inequalities now larger than gender or ethnic inequalities (Strand, 2011)
- Children from high socioeconomic families outperform those from low socioeconomic families, regardless of ability (Morris et al, 2016)
- Impact of social factors argued to increase as children grow older (Feinstein, 2003), though this is disputed (Jerrim and Vignoles, 2013)



Influences of EA





Genetics of EA







- A full understanding of EA requires better knowledge of how genetics may contribute to social inequalities
- Improve power and precision of models
- Educational policy should be based upon scientific evidence, and account for all factors which influence EA
- *Our aim*: to explore if genetics may contribute towards social inequalities in EA



Data source

- Data from the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC)
- Recruited in 1991 & 1992
- Representative of UK population
- 14 775 children in full sample



- 6 061 children with data on education/outcome and genetic data
- Data linked to the UK National Pupil Database (NPD)



Outcomes

- Educational attainment at 11, 14 and 16
- Cognitive ability measured at age 8
- Two measures of socioeconomic position:
 - Binary classification of "high" vs "low" based on RGSC
 - Continuous classification using the Cambridge Social Stratification Score (CAMSIS)



University of BRISTOL

How do we measure genetics?





Analyses

- We estimate the genetic correlations between educational attainment, SEP and cognitive ability
- Genetic and phenotypic similarity between pairs of unrelated individuals are compared
- Where genetically similar pairs are more phenotypically similar than genetically dissimilar pairs, heritability estimates are higher
- Analyses restricted to unrelated participants (less related than 2nd cousins)



• We use genome-wide complex trait analysis (GCTA) to estimate the genetic correlations between educational attainment, SEP and CA:

$$r_g = \frac{cov_g(t_1, t_2)}{\sqrt{var_g(t_1)var_g(t_2)}}$$

- Where r_g is the genetic correlation between two traits, $var_g(t_i)$ is the genetic variance of trait i and $cov_g(t_1, t_2)$ is the genetic covariance between the two traits.
- Genetic correlations say nothing about the *proportion* of outcome correlation that can be attributed to genetic correlation.



Results: genetic correlations

	KS2	KS3	KS4
Cognitive ability	0.985 (0.039)	0.967 (0.047)	0.989 (0.051)
Linear SEP			
Binary SEP			

- Genetic correlations consistent throughout schooling
- Majority of SNPs that associate with EA also associate with CA
- Unsurprising given that ability tests and exam papers are similar experiences that are likely to require similar skills
- <u>Does not</u> imply that EA is based solely on cognitive ability

University of BRISTOL

Results: genetic correlations

	KS2	KS3	KS4
Cognitive ability	0.985 (0.039)	0.967 (0.047)	0.989 (0.051)
Linear SEP	0.861 (0.069)	0.872 (0.069)	0.936 (0.055)
Binary SEP	0.768 (0.098)	0.760 (0.097)	0.784 (0.085)

- Many SNPs that associate with EA also associate with SEP
- Correlations higher for linear than binary measure
- Higher correlation at age 16 suggests SNPs associated with SEP are more strongly associated with EA at later ages in childhood, where performance is more likely to impact SEP in adulthood
- Does not imply that SEP is genetically determined



- Genetic variants that associate with high academic performance also associate with high SEP and performance on cognitive tests
- Results suggest genetics may be involved with social inequalities in EA
- However, <u>does not</u> imply that socio-economic gradients in EA are caused by genetics
- Results may be influenced by unobserved differences between individuals (residual population structure)
- Demonstrates the use of genetic data to social scientists and social science research questions



Thank you for your attention



MRC Integrative Epidemiology Unit University of Bristol





bristol.ac.uk



References

Strand, S., 2011. The limits of social class in explaining ethnic gaps in educational attainment. Br. Educ. Res. J. 37, 197–229. doi:10.1080/01411920903540664

Morris, T., Dorling, D., Davey Smith, G., 2016. How well can we predict educational outcomes? Examining the roles of cognitive ability and social position in educational attainment. Contemp. Soc. Sci. 1–15. doi:10.1080/21582041.2016.1138502

Feinstein, L., 2003. Inequality in the early cognitive development of British children in the 1970 cohort. Economica 70, 73–97. doi:10.1111/1468-0335.t01-1-00272

Jerrim, J., Vignoles, A., 2013. Social mobility, regression to the mean and the cognitive development of high ability children from disadvantaged homes. J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. A Stat. Soc. 176, 887–906. doi:10.1111/j.1467-985X.2012.01072.x

Davies, N.M., Hemani, G., Timpson, N.J., Windmeijer, F., Davey Smith, G., 2015. The role of common genetic variation in educational attainment and income: evidence from the National Child Development Study. Sci. Rep. 5, 16509.

Branigan, A.R., Mccallum, K.J., Freese, J., 2013. Variation in the heritability of educational attainment: An international metaanalysis. Soc. Forces 92, 109–140. doi:10.1093/sf/sot076.

Plomin, R., Walker, S.O., 2003. Genetics and educational psychology. Br. J. Educ. Psychol. 73, 3–14. doi:10.1348/000709903762869888

Krapohl, E., Plomin, R., 2016. Genetic link between family socioeconomic status and children/'s educational achievement estimated from genome-wide SNPs. Mol Psychiatry.

Marioni, R.E., Davies, G., Hayward, C., Liewald, D., Kerr, S.M., Campbell, A., Luciano, M., Smith, B.H., Padmanabhan, S., Hocking, L.J., Hastie, N.D., Wright, A.F., Porteous, D.J., Visscher, P.M., Deary, I.J., 2014. Molecular genetic contributions to socioeconomic status and intelligence. Intelligence 44, 26–32. doi:10.1016/j.intell.2014.02.006





Sample sizes

• Study numbers

	n	Mean	SD
KS4 points	6518	39.89	9.48
KS3 points	4960	35.97	6.19
KS2 points	6132	28.04	3.85
Cognitive			
ability	5295	105.07	16.36
Binary SEP	n	%	
High	3,990	59.53	
Low	2,713	40.47	

bristol.ac.uk