





## Flexible disclosure control for microdata using DataSHIELD

## Paul Burton

Data to Knowledge Research Group University of Bristol, School of Social and Community Medicine

University of Newcastle, Institute of Health & Society

McGill University, OICR, Maelstrom Research MRC Epidemiology Unit, Cambridge

### CLOSER KEW – Disclosure Control: London, 18th January, 2017











# Microdata = individual level data = individual patient data (IPD)

- Microdata are <u>absolutely fundamental</u> to contemporary science including biomedical, social, and public health science
- For someone wishing to analyse, interpret and draw conclusions from data they provide
  - The only way to do certain analyses
  - Enhanced efficiency in some circumstances
  - Greater flexibility







Constraints and barriers to sharing and combining microdata

Ethico-legal or other governance restrictions

- Maintaining control of intellectual property
- Physical size of data







## The DataSHIELD approach

- Take "analysis to data" ..... not "data to analysis"
- Leave the data to be analysed on local servers behind the firewalls where they usually reside
- The analysis centre *co-ordinates* parallelised analyses in all studies simultaneously
- Tie analysis chains together with non-disclosive information
- Analytic processing and options for disclosure control - located with the data

Medical Researc





# Data Aggregation Through Ar

Data Aggregation Through Anonymous Summarystatistics from Harmonized Individual-levEL Databases

- Horizontal partitioning
  - Different sources hold all variables but on different individuals
  - Secure meta-analysis (IPD and Study-Level)
  - Secure single-site analysis
- Vertical partitioning
  - Different sources hold different variables on the same individuals
  - Secure processing and analysis of linked data without bringing the data together











# 2009: The DataSHIELD challenge

Given that microdata are scientifically critical and yet potentially sensitive, can we ensure that the information driving analysis only ever emerges from the firewall of each data source in non-disclosive form? (i) encryption (trivial and non-trivial); (ii) low dimensional (ideally <u>sufficient</u>) statistics

#### Multi-site DataSHIELD horizontally partitioned data





# The DataSHIELD solution

- One step analyses: *e.g.* <u>ds.table2D</u> - request non-disclosive output from all sources
- Multi-step analyses: *e.g.* <u>ds.lexis</u> – set up and then request output
- Iterative analyses: *e.g.* <u>ds.glm</u> parallel processes linked together by nonidentifying summary statistics – e.g. for glm
   = score vectors and information matrices

SEVENTH FRAMEWOR

Medical

Council

MRC

Research







Analysis commands (1)

b.vector<-c(0,0,0,0)

glm(cc~1+BMI+BMI.456+SNP,
family=binomial,
start=b.vector, maxit=1)





#### Summary Statistics (1)

### Score vector Study 5

[36, 487.2951, 487.2951, 149]

### Information Matrix Study 5

| 500      | 70.56657   | 70.56657   | 297      |
|----------|------------|------------|----------|
| 70.56657 | 7646.29164 | 7646.29164 | 65.39412 |
| 70.56657 | 7646.29164 | 7646.29164 | 65.39412 |
| 297      | 65.39412   | 65.39412   | 382      |





### Summary Statistics (1)

### Score vector Study 5

[36, 487.2951, 487.2951, 149]

### Information Matrix Study 5

| 500      | 70.56657   | 70.56657   | 297      |
|----------|------------|------------|----------|
| 70.56657 | 7646.29164 | 7646.29164 | 65.39412 |
| 70.56657 | 7646.29164 | 7646.29164 | 65.39412 |
| 297      | 65.39412   | 65.39412   | 382      |





Analysis commands (2)

b.vector<c(-0.322, 0.0223, 0.0391, 0.535)

glm(cc~1+BMI+BMI.456+SNP, family=binomial, start=b.vector, maxit=1)



## and so on .....













#### **Updated parameters (4)**

#### **Final parameter estimates**

| Coefficient | Estimate | Std Error |
|-------------|----------|-----------|
| Intercept   | -0.3296  | 0.02838   |
| BMI         | 0.02300  | 0.00621   |
| BMI.456     | 0.04126  | 0.01140   |
| SNP         | 0.5517   | 0.03295   |



## **Direct conventional analysis**

| <b>Coefficients:</b> |          |            |
|----------------------|----------|------------|
|                      | Estimate | Std. Error |
| (Intercept)          | -0.32956 | 0.02838    |
| BMI                  | 0.02300  | 0.00621    |
| BMI.456              | 0.04126  | 0.01140    |
| SNP                  | 0.55173  | 0.03295    |



## DataSHIELD analysis

| Parameter                     | Coefficient | Standard Error |  |
|-------------------------------|-------------|----------------|--|
| <b>b</b> <sub>intercept</sub> | -0.3296     | 0.02838        |  |
| <b>b</b> <sub>BMI</sub>       | 0.02300     | 0.00621        |  |
| <b>b</b> <sub>BMI.456</sub>   | 0.04126     | 0.01140        |  |
| <b>b</b> <sub>SNP</sub>       | 0.5517      | 0.03295        |  |

METADAC AtaSHIELD







## **Current research activity**

- InterConnect
- SPIRIT
- ENPADASI
- BioSHaRE-EU Healthy Obese Project
- BioSHaRE-EU Environmental Core Project
- Farr Institutes
- UKDA
- F1000 Research Journal









## Important reflections

- DataSHIELD is aimed at allowing governance constraints to be met in full while the governance bar is (rationally) lowered? This can streamline data access and promote open science.
- DataSHIELD provides an effective solution to a range of challenges in data management. It is not always appropriate and may well be associated with unnecessary costs, workload and time delay if it is used in inappropriate circumstances. Inappropriate settings may include situations where DataSHIELD's disclosure protection is too weak or unnecessarily rigorous.
- DataSHIELD should ideally be applied on top of a data access and governance system that is already well founded and a hardware/middleware infrastructure that is already robust and resilient *e.g.* resistant to hacking
- It is recommended that the Opal servers that contain the data to be analysed using DataSHIELD should be kept separate from the servers holding the main data systems for a study and data should be pseudonomized







## Important reflections

- Long term sustainability demands the development and application of a cost-recovery mechanism to support support for implementation and use of Opal and DataSHIELD and for undertaking tailored development of new functionality to address the needs of particular projects
- Because DataSHIELD allows efficient access to the full information held in ethicolegally or intellectually sensitive microdata while those microdata physically remain with their original generator or formal custodian. It can therefore promote trust-based collaboration particularly in lower/middle income countries where there are understandable sensitivities about rich research groups from elsewhere "going off" with precious data and gaining most of the scientific return that those data may offer.







## Important reflections

- Like any other approach to analysis or joint co-analysis there is little point in applying DataSHIELD to data unless they have first been cleaned and harmonized. If this is not done, results may actually be misleading. Initial data preparation can take much more work than the DataSHIELD analysis itself.
- Any rational overall data management strategy for biomedical and health data should take advantage of the complementary strengths of central warehousing and remote federated analysis. DataSHIELD is of particular value for the latter but also supports the former.
- Long term sustainability demands the development and application of a cost-recovery mechanism to support support for implementation and use of Opal and DataSHIELD and for undertaking tailored development of new functionality to address the needs of particular projects.
- Inferential disclosure based on analytic results presents a challenge for all forms of data release. This is as true for DataSHIELD as for any other approach and needs active exploration.







# **THANK YOU FOR LISTENING**











# DataSHIELD: current implementation for vertically partitioned (linked) data

Regression coefficients =  $X^TY/X^TX$ X<sup>T</sup>X: Need to calculate R  $X_A X_A$  $X_A X_B$ X<sub>A</sub>X<sub>C</sub> **Data computer** Opal NHS  $X_B X_C$  $X_A X_B$  $X_B X_B$ Х  $X_A X_C$  $X_B X_C$  $X_{C}X_{C}$ **Data computer** Web services **Data computer**  $X_A X_B$  $X_{A1} * X_{B1}$ + Web services Opal Web services Opal  $X_{A2} * X_{B2}$ **ALSPAC** R **Education** + X₄ Analysis X<sub>A3</sub> \* X<sub>B3</sub> + R R Computer ..... Medical  $E \cdot S \cdot R \cdot C$ META**DA** ataSHIELD Research

MRC

SEVENTH ERAMEWO

Council



## Why DataSHIELD?

## Horizontal DataSHIELD multi-site

- Secured IPD meta-analysis or study-level meta-analysis where different studies hold the same variables on different individuals
- Data remains behind firewall of study holding data and is invisible and unobtainable externally. Appropriate disclosure settings under control of data controller. 'Local' study data storage could be at a national repository
- Open-source freeware

## Vertical DataSHIELD

- Ultra-secure analysis of very sensitive linked data where no source is prepared for its linked data to be held by any other sources or a trusted third party
- Securing the linkage process itself
- Non-linkage applications eg in 'omics (GCTA, kinship relationship matrix)

## Single site Horizontal DataSHIELD (a freeware-based data enclave)

- Post-publication "open access" to sensitive data
- "Open access" to simple descriptive stats from rigorously governanced studies
- Analytic access to sensitive (but not ultra-sensitive) linked data
- Analytic access to data collected by researchers in resource-poor regions









# DataSHIELD: current implementation for vertically partitioned (linked) data

Regression coefficients =  $X^TY/X^TX$ X<sup>T</sup>X: Need to calculate R  $X_A X_A$  $X_A X_B$ X<sub>A</sub>X<sub>C</sub> **Data computer** Opal NHS  $X_B X_C$  $X_A X_B$  $X_B X_B$ Х  $X_A X_C$  $X_B X_C$  $X_{C}X_{C}$ **Data computer** Web services **Data computer**  $X_A X_B$  $X_{A1} * X_{B1}$ + Web services Opal Web services Opal  $X_{A2} * X_{B2}$ **ALSPAC** R **Education** + X₄ Analysis X<sub>A3</sub> \* X<sub>B3</sub> + R R Computer ..... Medical  $E \cdot S \cdot R \cdot C$ META**DA** ataSHIELD Research

MRC

SEVENTH ERAMEWO

Council



## Why DataSHIELD?

## Horizontal DataSHIELD multi-site

- Secured IPD meta-analysis or study-level meta-analysis where different studies hold the same variables on different individuals
- Data remains behind firewall of study holding data and is invisible and unobtainable externally. Appropriate disclosure settings under control of data controller. 'Local' study data storage could be at a national repository
- Open-source freeware

## Vertical DataSHIELD

- Ultra-secure analysis of very sensitive linked data where no source is prepared for its linked data to be held by any other sources or a trusted third party
- Securing the linkage process itself
- Non-linkage applications eg in 'omics (GCTA, kinship relationship matrix)

## Single site Horizontal DataSHIELD (a freeware-based data enclave)

- Post-publication "open access" to sensitive data
- "Open access" to simple descriptive stats from rigorously governanced studies
- Analytic access to sensitive (but not ultra-sensitive) linked data
- Analytic access to data collected by researchers in resource-poor regions









## The core DataSHIELD Development Team











# **THANK YOU FOR LISTENING**





















# DataSHIELD: current implementation for vertically partitioned (linked) data

Regression coefficients =  $X^TY/X^TX$ X<sup>T</sup>X: Need to calculate R  $X_A X_A$  $X_A X_B$ X<sub>A</sub>X<sub>C</sub> **Data computer** Opal NHS  $X_B X_C$  $X_A X_B$  $X_B X_B$ Х  $X_A X_C$  $X_B X_C$  $X_{C}X_{C}$ **Data computer** Web services **Data computer**  $X_A X_B$  $X_{A1} * X_{B1}$ + Web services Opal Web services Opal  $X_{A2} * X_{B2}$ **ALSPAC** R **Education** + X₄ Analysis X<sub>A3</sub> \* X<sub>B3</sub> + R R Computer ..... Medical  $E \cdot S \cdot R \cdot C$ META**DA** ataSHIELD Research

MRC

SEVENTH ERAMEWO

Council

plain.text.vector.A plain.text.vector.N 0111001 11001

#### encryption.matrix

[,1][,2][,3][1,] -1.4447692.495677 -5.322736[2,] -1.355529-9.3690412.687347[3,]4.603762-3.622044-2.817478

#### occluded.matrix.A

| [,1]           | [,2]  | [,3]     |   |
|----------------|-------|----------|---|
| [1,] -1.454672 | 11 0  | 4.072220 | 5 |
| [2,] 6.480978  | 35 1- | 4.581472 | 6 |
| [3,] 4.495480  | )1 1- | 8.703626 | 0 |
| [4,] 0.199568  | 34 1- | 8.687220 | 5 |
| [5,] -6.406022 | 20 0- | 6.647177 | 7 |
| [6,] -0.516434 | 45 0- | 0.256467 | 3 |
| [7,] -5.898193 | 33 1- | 8.503285 | 2 |

## DataSHIELD: current implementation for vertically partitioned (linked) data

Regression coefficients =  $X^TY/X^TX$ 

X<sup>T</sup>X: Need to calculate







### THE ANONYMISATION DECISION-MAKING FRAMEWORK

Mark Elliot, Elaine Mackey Kieron O'Hara and Caroline Tudor



Published in the UK in 2016 by UKAN University of Manchester Oxford Road Manchester M13 9PL



This work is licensed under a <u>Creative Commons</u> <u>Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0</u> <u>International License</u>.

From Preface:

METADAC

"Our view has always been that [security] is a heavily contextdependent process and only by considering the data and its environment as a total system (which we call the *data situation*), can one come to a well informed decision about whether and what [approach] is needed."





# How does matrix-based encryption work?

```
> plain.text.vector.L
[1] 0 1 1 1 0 0 1
> plain.text.vector.N
[1] 1 1 0 1 0 0 1
> sum(plain.text.vector.L*plain.text.matrix.N)
[1] 3
>t(matrix(plain.text.vector.L))%*%matrix(plain.text.vector.N)
      [,1]
[1,] 3
```









# How does matrix-based encryption work?

> plain.text.vector.L

[1] 0 1 1 1 0 0 1

> occluded.matrix.L

[,1] [,2] [,3] [1,] -1.4546711 0 4.0722205 [2,] 6.4809785 1 -4.5814726 [3,] 4.4954801 1 -8.7036260 [4,] 0.1995684 1 -8.6872205 [5,] -6.4060220 0 -6.6471777 [6,] -0.5164345 0 -0.2564673 [7,] -5.8981933 1 -8.5032852 > e.mat.L

[,1] [,2] [,3] [1,] -1.444769 2.495677 -5.322736 [2,] -1.355529 -9.369041 2.687347 [3,] 4.603762 -3.622044 -2.817478 > e.mat.L%\*%occluded.matrix.L

[,1][,2][,3][,4][,5][,6][,7][1,] -19.5736917.5181342.3278548.4471344.6363972.1112362756.277949[2,]12.91532-30.46620-38.85246-32.98514-9.1797190.01082581-24.225142[3,] -18.1703539.1230341.5963521.77277-10.763524-1.65495077-6.818104









# How does matrix-based encryption work?

> e.mat.L%\*%occluded.matrix.L

[,1] [,2] [,3] [,4] [,5] [,6] [,7] [1,]-19.57369 17.51813 42.32785 48.44713 44.636397 2.11123627 56.277949 [2,] 12.91532 - 30.46620 - 38.85246 - 32.98514 - 9.179719 0.01082581 - 24.225142 [3,] -18.17035 39.12303 41.59635 21.77277 -10.763524 -1.65495077 -6.818104 > plain.text.vector.N [1] 1 1 0 1 0 0 1 > e.mat.L%\*%occluded.matrix.L%\*% plain.text.matrix.N [,1] [1,] 102.66952 [2,] -74.76116 [3,] 35.90735 > inv.e.mat.L%\*%e.mat.L%\*%occluded.matrix.L%\*% plain.text.matrix.N [,1] [1,] -0.6723174 [2,] 3.000000 [3,] -17.6997578 > sum(plain.text.vector.L\*plain.text.matrix.N)











# Why do we need to occlude the original plain text vector?

```
> plain.text.vector.L
[1]0111001
> e.mat.1
    [,1]
[1,] 7.13763
> e.mat.1%*%t(matrix(plain.text.vector.L))
   [,1] [,2] [,3] [,4] [,5] [,6][,7]
[1,] 07.137637.137637.13763 0 07.13763
>e.mat.1%*%t(matrix(plain.text.vector.L))%*%plain.text.matrix.N
     [,1]
[1,] 21.41289
>(1/e.mat.1)*e.mat.1%*%t(matrix(plain.text.vector.L))%*%plain.tex
t.matrix.N
  [,1]
[1,] 3
```









# Promoting effective 'data security, privacy and trust' in our data systems

- A complex challenge involving science, technology, governance and other fundamental social issues
- <u>True</u> transdisciplinary programs of work are essential
- No single solution can ever be a 'silver bullet'
- Even the most sophisticated combination of solutions will <u>never</u> promise optimally efficient exploitation of available data with <u>zero</u> risk of undesirable disclosure events, mistakes in data management and/or of malign attacks on data
- DataSHIELD can provide one component of an integrated solution to a range of important challenges







## **Conventional analysis**

| STUDY        | Ν     | LOG-ODDS RATIO | STANDARD ERROR |
|--------------|-------|----------------|----------------|
| 1958BC       | 7210  | 1.7063198      | 0.16427649     |
| SHIP         | 4308  | 0.6368959      | 0.11976096     |
| PREVEND      | 8592  | 0.6888834      | 0.18821449     |
| LIFELINES    | 90920 | 1.4431487      | 0.04800230     |
| MITCHELSTOWN | 2048  | 0.9541291      | 0.20801307     |
| FINRISK      | 5024  | 1.2253285      | 0.14526764     |
| CHRIS        | 1583  | 1.4502807      | 0.35001932     |
| MICROS       | 1060  | 0.9276257      | 0.40205915     |
| KORA         | 3080  | 1.1576405      | 0.15144140     |

# Does it work?

## DataSHIELD analyses

|  | Individual level meta-analysis                               |        |       |          |         |  |
|--|--|--------|-------|----------|---------|--|
| Term                                       | LOR  | SE     | OR    | Low95%CI | Up95%Cl |  |
| MODEL=                                     | MODEL= "diab ~ S.1 + S.2 + S.3 + S.4 + S.5 + S.6 + S.7 + S.8 |        |       |          |         |  |
| + bmi + age + female", logistic regression |  |        |       |          |         |  |
| BMI>30                                     | 1.292 0.038 3.640 3.379 3.921                                |        |       |          |         |  |
| AGE  | 0.0715   | 0.0016 | 1.074 | 1.071    | 1.078   |  |
| FEMALE                                     | -0.329   | 0.0374 | 0.720 | 0.669    | 0.774   |  |

#### Random effects study level meta-analysis

| Term   | LOR   | SE    | OR    | Low95%CI | Up95%Cl |  |
|--|-------|-------|-------|----------|---------|--|
| MODEL= "diab ~ bmi + age + female", logistic regression    |       |       |       |          |         |  |
| BMI>30   | 1.137 | 0.129 | 3.117 | 2.419    | 4.016   |  |
| Test for Heterogeneity: Q(df = 8) = 61.1275, p-val < .0001 |       |       |       |          |         |  |







## The core DataSHIELD Development Team









