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Background

- Maternal depression is associated with behavioural problems in children

- Most of the evidence relates to postnatal depression

- In the ALSPAC cohort, anxiety during pregnancy and postnatal depression had independent effects on child behaviour at 4 years

- No evidence on whether distress or anxiety before pregnancy relates to child behaviour problems
Aim

To examine the association between maternal distress/anxiety before pregnancy and child behaviour at 3 years of age
Southampton Women’s Survey

12,583 non-pregnant Southampton women aged 20-34 years interviewed between 1998 and 2002

Subsequent pregnancies studied, ultrasound scans and interviews

3158 births

Children followed-up at 6, 12, 24 & 36 months. Samples seen at 4, 6-7, 8-9 and 11-13y

Adapted from Inskip et al. Int J Epidemiol. 2006
Methods

• Women enrolled during the latter half of recruitment completed the General Health Questionnaire (GHQ-12) - scores of 3 or more indicate psychological distress

• Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS) completed 6 months after birth – scores of 12 or more indicate probable postnatal depression

• When children were 3 years of age, child behaviour was assessed with the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ)

• Answers to the SDQ were used to define behaviour problems:
  • Hyperactivity
  • Conduct disorders
  • Emotional problems
Statistical analysis

• Binary/Poisson regression used to derive prevalence ratios for child behaviour problems

• Adjustment for effects of potential confounding factors

• Maternal confounding factors
  • Educational attainment
  • Receipt of benefits
  • Number of children
  • Employment
  • Smoking during pregnancy

• Child confounding factors
  • Gender
  • Diet
  • Sleep duration
Directed Acyclic Graph

Minimal sufficient adjustment set:
- educational attainment
- number of children

Further adjustment for postnatal depression was included to see if it mediated the associations

Further analyses examined the associations between child behaviour problems and depressive symptoms at one, or other, or both time points
### Maternal characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics of mothers (n=1511)</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Educational attainment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤GCSE</td>
<td>531 (35.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A levels/HND</td>
<td>628 (41.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degree or above</td>
<td>352 (23.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In receipt of benefits</td>
<td>489 (32.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In employment</td>
<td>433 (28.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoked in pregnancy</td>
<td>128 (12.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preconceptional distress</td>
<td>615 (41.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postnatal depression</td>
<td>417 (27.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Characteristics of children

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Boys</th>
<th>Girls</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n=782</td>
<td>n=729</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>3.0 (3.0-3.1)</td>
<td>3.0 (3.0-3.1)</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep duration (hours (IQR))</td>
<td>11.5 (11.0-12.0)</td>
<td>11.5 (11.0-12.0)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperactivity (n (%))</td>
<td>172 (22.0)</td>
<td>116 (15.9)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conduct disorders (n (%))</td>
<td>198 (25.3)</td>
<td>168 (23.1)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional problems (n (%))</td>
<td>143 (18.3)</td>
<td>138 (18.9)</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Association of maternal preconceptional distress with child behaviour at 3 years of age (unadjusted)
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Association of maternal preconceptional distress with child behaviour at 3 years of age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Hyperactivity</th>
<th>Conduct disorders</th>
<th>Emotional problems</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prevalence ratio</strong></td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unadjusted</strong></td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adjusted for maternal education and parity</strong></td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Association of maternal preconceptional distress with child behaviour at 3 years of age

Prevalence ratio

Hyperactivity  Conduct disorders  Emotional problems

Unadjusted  Adjusted for maternal education and parity  Additionally adjusted for postnatal distress
Association of distress, preconception, postnatally or both, with child behaviour at 3 years of age*

*Adjusted for maternal education and number of children

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Preconception only</th>
<th>Postnatal only</th>
<th>Both</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence ratio</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conduct disorders</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional problems</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Hyperactivity

*Conduct disorders

*Emotional problems
Summary of findings

- Around 40% of mothers had preconceptional distress and a quarter had probable postnatal depression.
- Around a fifth of children had hyperactivity or emotional problems and a quarter had conduct disorders.
- Maternal preconceptional distress was associated with an increased risk of child behavioural difficulties, particularly emotional problems at 3 years of age.
- The influence of preconceptional maternal distress on child behaviour was still apparent once we adjusted for postnatal depression.
Strengths and limitations

**Strengths**
- SWS is a population-based study
- Adjustment for important confounding factors
- GHQ-12 is a sensitive instrument for detecting depression and anxiety disorders
- EPDS measure of postnatal depression

**Limitations**
- GHQ-12 data only collected during second phase of SWS
- Measure of child behaviour based on parental report
- No measure of depression or anxiety during pregnancy
Comparison with previous studies

• Link between postnatal depression and behavioural and emotional problems in childhood is well established

• ALSPAC findings - anxiety during pregnancy predicted behavioural and emotional problems independent of postnatal depression

• ALSPAC focused on pregnancy not preconception

• To the best of our knowledge, these analyses in SWS are first to demonstrate that preconceptional maternal distress is linked to child behaviour
Implications

Three time points at which maternal psychological problems can affect child behaviour

Support for women with psychological distress from before conception might facilitate the prevention of childhood emotional problems
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Young women and children in participating in the SWS
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Research context

• Evidence that breastfeeding is associated with positive child outcomes (e.g. cognitive development; weight) and maternal experiences (e.g. depression; weight)

• Heavily recommended and promoted to mothers in the UK

• But very few breastfeed to or beyond the six months encouraged by the World Health Organisation and the NHS
Why not?

- **Background factors** associated with breastfeeding initiation and continuation among UK mothers include: ethnicity, maternal education, maternal age, siblings, drinking and smoking behaviours.

- **Pregnancy and birth factors** include: gestational diabetes, gestational age, labour complications, delivery method, birthweight.
International evidence that pre-pregnancy Body Mass Index (BMI) is another predictor of breastfeeding (both overweight and underweight negatively associated). So:

• Does this hold in the UK context?

• Can relationships between pre-pregnancy BMI and BF be explained by confounders?

• Does maternal ethnicity moderate any associations, in the UK context?

• Can we find evidence for any mediating pathways from pre-pregnancy BMI to breastfeeding?
Data and sample

• Millennium Cohort Study: nationally representative sample of 18,552 UK babies born 2000-2002

• 17,113 biological mothers have information on pre-pregnancy BMI and length of breastfeeding
Questions asked at first interview, circa 9 months: weight and height

• “How tall are you (without shoes)?”
• “Thinking back to just before you became pregnant with [baby], what was your weight then (without clothes)?”

Reported pre-pregnancy weight (in kilos) / height (in metres) squared = pre-pregnancy BMI

• ‘Underweight’ (<18.5) – 6%
• ‘Healthy weight’ (18.5-24.99) – 66%
• ‘Overweight’ (25-29.99) – 20%
• ‘Obese’ (30+) – 9%
Questions asked at first interview, circa 9 months: breastfeeding

• “Did you ever try to breastfeed [baby]?”
• “How old was [baby] when [s]he last had breast milk?”

Three outcome variables, to represent different stages of the breastfeeding journey / points for potential intervention and help:

• Initiation (breastfeeding at all) – 70%
• Early cessation (stopping within the first month) – 32% of those who initiated
• Longevity (continuing to 5+ months) 34% of those who initiated
Binary logistic regressions (presenting EMMs)

Discrete outcomes: BF initiation / BF early cessation / BF longevity

Predictor: Pre-pregnancy BMI group

Confounders: ethnicity, maternal education, maternal age, siblings, drinking, smoking

Mediators: gestational diabetes, gestational age, labour complications, delivery method, birthweight

Interaction: BMI x ethnicity
Results: initiation

70% of all mothers initiate

Little difference by BMI, on adding controls
Results: early cessation (among those who initiated)

32% of all mothers cease within first month

Overweight and obese mothers more likely to cease early

Not explained by potential mediators
Results: longevity (among those who initiated)

34% of all mothers who initiated continue to 5+ months

Overweight and obese mothers least likely to continue

Not explained by potential mediators
Results: No evidence for moderation by ethnicity...
...and results hold across other characteristics, e.g. education.

Education level explains patterns for ‘underweight’ mothers.

But patterns for early cessation and longevity hold for ‘overweight’ and ‘obese’ mothers, across education levels.

Chart = selected estimates from longevity model.
Main findings

• In this UK sample, little evidence that ‘underweight’ is independently related to breastfeeding. And little patterning by BMI in initiation.

• But among those who initiate, ‘overweight’ / ‘obese’ mothers more likely to cease early and less likely to continue to 5+ months (not explained by observed confounders)

• Available potential mediators (pregnancy and birth factors) do not explain relationships

• No evidence that maternal ethnicity moderates associations

• The magnitude of associations is smaller than those with other factors, e.g. education level – but patterns by ‘overweight’ and ‘obesity’ hold across factors such as this
Implications

• Pre-pregnancy BMI may be one useful factor to consider when targeting and tailoring support to mothers, and may help with informing / interpreting their feeding decisions and experiences.

• This should take into account existing and new research on possible reasons for BMI-BF associations (physiological; psychological; societal; environmental).

• Breastfeeding may be a mediating factor in observed relationships between maternal BMI and children’s development.
Thanks for listening

Questions, comments...?

Tammy Campbell <t.campbell1@lse.ac.uk>
Nichola Shackleton <n.shackleton@auckland.ac.nz>
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“We must now find a way to build a supportive, enabling environment for all women who want to breastfeed”

UNICEF’s call to governments across the UK to take urgent action to remove the barriers to breastfeeding in the UK
Environmental influences on health outcomes and behaviours
Life history theory

- Age- and stage-specific patterns of key life events depend on **extrinsic** environmental factors and resource availability.

- Trade-offs in energetic resource allocation.

---

**Figure 1: Life history contrasts across a fast–slow continuum**

Fast life histories are favoured in environments with high mortality risk, whereas slow life histories can evolve when mortality risk reduces. These strategies might evolve under natural selection, but physiology can also respond to cues during the life course through plasticity. The size of the circles is proportional to adult body size, and filled circles indicate individuals that survive to reproduce. G1—first generation. G2—second generation. G3—third generation.

Environmental quality links with...

- Chronic diseases
- The aging process
- Mental health outcomes
- Health behaviours
- Social well-being

Harsher environments →

- Earlier first births
- Preterm deliveries
- SGA & LBW babies
## Environmental quality vs socioeconomic status

### Environmental quality
- Local conditions
- External resources
- Physical and sociocultural
- Subjective / objective
- Various scales

### SES
- Individual condition
- Somatic and cognitive resources
- Income / job status / education
Our study
Aim: To investigate whether localised measures of environmental quality are associated with breastfeeding initiation and duration, and to tease apart the influence of local environmental experience and individual SES on women’s investments in breastfeeding in the UK

Two hypotheses:

1. Local environmental quality is positively correlated with the probability of breastfeeding initiation and lengthened breastfeeding duration;

2. Higher individual SES buffers against negative effects of lower local environmental quality on breastfeeding.
Data
UK Millennium Cohort Study

19,000 children born between 2000 and 2002

Restricted to:

• Biological mothers, still living with their babies
• If multiple birth, only included first cohort member
• Mothers who completed waves 1 (9mo) and 2 (3yrs)

Max. usable sample = 14,576 mothers
Measures
Breastfeeding

• **Initiation** – “Did you ever try to breastfeed ^Jack?”

• **Duration** – “How old was ^Jack when ^he last had breast milk?”
Local environmental quality
Socioeconomic status

• **Income**: OECD equivalised Hh income quintiles

• **Job status**: NS-SEC 3 categories. If mothers were partnered, highest of mother's and partner's job status used.

• **Education**: Highest qualification level. If mothers were partnered, highest of mother's and partner's qualifications used.

• **Combination**: Income + Job status + Education
Covariates

• **Exposure to current environment:**
  - time at current address, moved house between waves
  - (Model 1, 2 and 3)

• **Infant and maternal characteristics:**
  - birthweight, parents/carers in Hh, maternal age, parity, ethnicity, immigration status and level of acculturation
  - (Model 1, 2 and 3)

• **Ward-level factors:**
  - BME %, Immigrant %, Non-English speaker %, urbanicity %, deprivation (IMD)
  - (Model 3 only)
Local environmental quality

Street-level cues (objective environmental quality)
- Arguing or fighting
- Safety
- Security blinds, gates, iron bars or grilles
- Abandoned or burnt out cars
- Graffiti
- Vandalism
- Building condition
- Litter and rubbish
- Dog mess

Mother’s subjective environmental experience (subjective environmental quality)
- Feelings of safety
- Noisy neighbours
- Racist insults or attacks
- Places where children can play safely
- Vandalism and damage to property
- Good area to bring up children
- Access to garden
- Damp or condensation
- Satisfaction with home
- Rubbish and litter
- Pollution, grime or environmental problems
- Satisfaction with area

Individual condition (SES)
- Income
- Job Status
- Education

Ward-level contextual factors
- Ethnicity
- Immigration
- Acculturation
- Urbanicity

Predictors
Modifier
Outcomes

Behavioural response
- Breastfeeding initiation
- Breastfeeding duration
We wanted to know...

1) Does local environmental quality predict breastfeeding?

2) Does high SES buffer against harsh environmental conditions?
Key findings
1) Does environmental quality – as captured by our two measures – predict breastfeeding?
Breastfeeding initiation
Breastfeeding initiation

Subjective

Objective

Odds Ratio

1.125*

2.086***
Breastfeeding initiation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjective</th>
<th>Objective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.014</td>
<td>1.670***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Odds Ratio
Breastfeeding initiation

Subjective

Objective

Odds Ratio

0.964

1.537***
Breastfeeding duration
Breastfeeding termination

Subjective

Objective

Hazard Ratio

0.910***

0.783***
Breastfeeding termination

Subjective Hazard Ratio: 0.933*
Objective Hazard Ratio: 0.841**
Breastfeeding termination

Subjective Hazard Ratio: 0.947

Objective Hazard Ratio: 0.859*
2) Does SES buffer against harsh environmental conditions?
There is more variation in breastfeeding initiation according to SES in low quality environments.

High income women are more likely to initiate breastfeeding, even in low quality environments.

N=13,737. Interaction p=0.014
Breastfeeding duration
Women with high status jobs are more likely to maintain breastfeeding, even in low quality environments.

Women in low quality environments with low status jobs have a 18% chance of breastfeeding to 6 months, while women in low quality environments with high status jobs have a 34% chance.

N=9,573. Interaction p=0.045
Conclusions & implications
Conclusions

1) Higher objective, but not subjective, environmental quality predicts higher likelihood of breastfeeding initiation and longer durations once individual SES and wider-level environmental factors are accounted for.

2) Higher individual SES is protective, with women from high-income households having relatively high breastfeeding initiation rates and those with high status jobs being more likely to maintain breastfeeding, even in poor environmental conditions.
Implications for further research and policy

• Barriers behind breastfeeding-specific barriers

Who is most vulnerable?
• Double jeopardy of low environmental quality and low SES

How do we help?
• Environmental vs individual-level interventions

Limitations
• Correlation not causation. Mechanisms?
• Other environmental quality measures, individual aspects
Thank you!
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